	WHENTAL PROTECTION
Mar	an 1 Cana
DENUTIN	
1	

**CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT** 



## COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

	INUAL (INS1, INS2)	COMPLAINT/DISCOVI ARMS COMPLAINT N	
AIRS ID#: 0250507 DATE:	<u>1/6/2010</u>	ARRIVE: <u>11:48 AM</u>	DEPART: <u>12:10 PM</u>
FACILITY NAME: PLANT	' #1		
FACILITY LOCATION:	9500 NW 109 ST		
	MEDLEY 33178		
OWNER/AUTHORIZED RI	EPRESENTATIVE: NEII	DA SUAREZ PHON	E: (305)888-0420
CONTACT NAME:		PHON	E:
ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:	4/9/2009 / 4/9/2014 (effective date) (end date)		
PART I: <u>INSPECTION CO</u> IN COMPLIANCE	MPLIANCE STATUS (ch	-	ANT Non-COMPLIANCE
<ul> <li>62-297, F.A.C.)?</li> <li>2. Are emissions from sil controlled to the extent</li> <li>3. During visible emission at a rate that is represent unless such rate is unact</li> <li>4. Are emissions from the to this question is "Yest skip 4.a) and 4.b) and 6</li> <li>a) Was the batching of b) During the visible of duration?</li></ul>	x(es)) s tests conducted during this los, weigh hoppers (batchers) t necessary to limit visible er ons tests of the silo dust collect ntative of the normal silo loa chievable in practice? e weigh hopper (batcher) oper s", then continue on to questi continue on to question 5.) peration in operation during emissions test, was the batchieve weigh hopper (batcher) opera	site visit according to EPA M ), and other enclosed storage a missions to 5 percent opacity ctor exhaust points was the lo ading rate, or at least at the mi eration controlled by the silo o ions 4.a) and 4.b) below. If ar the visible emissions test?	Iethod 9 (Ref.: Chapter          Yes ⋈ No         and conveying equipment         ?       Yes □ No         bading of the silo conducted         inimum 25 tons per hour rate,          Yes □ No         dust collector? (If answer         nswer is "No" then          Yes ⋈ No         normal batching rate and          Yes □ No

PART II: <u>TESTING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414, F.A.C. – ( <i>continued</i> ) (check ☑ appropriate box(es)
Compliance Demonstration       - (Rule 62-296.401(5)(i), F.A.C.)         1. Is each dust collector exhaust point tested according to the visible emissions limiting standard as part of the annual compliance demonstration? (Rule 62-297.310(7)(a), F.A.C.)
New Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)         2. Did this facility demonstrate:         a) initial compliance no later than 30 days after beginning operation?         □Yes □ No         b) annual compliance within 60 days prior to each anniversary of the air general permit notification form submittal date?
<ul> <li>Existing Facilities – (permitted pursuant to Rule 62-210.300(4), F.A.C., Air General Permits)</li> <li>3. In order to demonstrate annual compliance, was an annual visible emissions test conducted 60days prior to the AGP Notification form submission, and within 60 days prior to each anniversary date? Xest Complexity Complexity</li></ul>
<ul> <li>Test Reports – (Rules 62-213.440, F.A.C. and 62-297.310(8)(b), F.A.C.)</li> <li>4. Was the required test report filed with the department as soon as practical, but no later than 45 days after the test was completed? Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero Xero</li></ul>

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-210.300(4)(c)2., F.A.C.

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))
-------------------------------

1. Is this facility: 1) a stationary ⊠; 2) a relocatable □; or does it have: 3) both, stationary and relocatable □ concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (*Please check ⊠only one box.*)

2.	If this is a stationary concrete batching plant, is there one or more relocatable nonmetallic mineral processi plants using individual air general permits at the same location? ( <i>If your answer to this question is YES</i> , <i>then proceed to questions 2.a</i> ), <i>thru 2.d</i> ),) <i>below</i> .)	ing □Yes ⊠ No □Yes ⊠ No
	<ul> <li>b) Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel oil usage of all plants less than 240,000 gallons per calendar year?</li> <li>c) Is the quantity of material processed less than ten million tons per calendar year?</li></ul>	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No
3.	Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant maintain a log book or books to account for: a) fuel consumption on a monthly basis? b) material processed on a monthly basis?	☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No ☐Yes ☐ No

## PART III: <u>OPERATING/RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS</u> – Rule 62-296.414(2)(a) and (b), F.A.C. (continued)

(check ☑ appropriate box(es))

Unconfined Emissions – (Rule 62-296.320(4)(c), F.A.C.)

- 1. Does the owner /operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:
  - a) management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following:

	1)	paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 🛛 Yes 🗌 No
	2)	application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control
		emissions? Xes No
	3)	removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to
		re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne particulate matter? Xes No
	4)	reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of
		particulate matter from stock piles? Xes No
b)	use	e of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Xes No

## PART IV: Special CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300(4)(d)4., F.A.C. A. New or Modified Process Equipment 1. Since the last inspection has there been a) installation of any new process equipment?---- D) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?-----

		-	
c)	replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most		
	recent notification form?	]Yes	🛛 No
d)	If you answered <u>YES</u> to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete		
	notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, FAC) to the appropriate DEP or		
	local program office?	Yes	No No

FRANK DELGADO

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

1/6/2010

Date of Inspection

1/2011

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** A VISIBLE EMISSIONS TEST WAS CONDUCTED BY ARLINGTON ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES ON FEBRUARY 27, 2009.

THE FACILITY WAS OPERATIONAL AT THE TIME OF THE INSPECTION. I DID NOT OBSERVE ANY VISIBLE OR FUGITIVE PARTICULATES AROUND THE FACILITY. THIS FACILITY HAS TWO (2) SILOS SERVICED BY A CENTRAL DUST COLLECTOR. THE TRUCK LOADOUT

EMISSIONS ARE CONTROLLED BY SPRINKLER RINGS AND ENCLOSURE.